



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 1111 of 2025

Smt Lata Rani

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s) : Parvesh Kumar Pandey, Sarvesh
Kumar Pandey

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A., Ranjeet Kumar, Syed
Mohammad Abbas Abdy

Court No. - 88

HON'BLE PRASHANT MISHRA-I, J.

1. Mr. Parvesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the revisionist, learned A.G.A. representing the State and Mr. Syed Mohammad Abbas Abdy along with Mr. Ranjeet Kumar, learned counsel for opposite party No. 2 are present.

2. Learned counsel for opposite party No. 2 apprised the Court that vide order dated 31.10.2025 passed by this Court an accommodation for the revisionist/wife was directed to be searched for and provided to the revisionist/wife, which has been complied with by opposite party No. 2, regarding which, compliance affidavit on behalf of opposite party No. 2 dated 09.12.2025 has been filed. It is also apprised that the revisionist is not residing in the accommodation as provided by opposite party No. 2 on the ground that it has not been provided in the locality which suits her status.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the revisionist states that the accommodation as provided by opposite party No. 2 is not suitable to the living condition of the revisionist/wife. Hence, the rent of the accommodation, which is being paid by opposite party No. 2 to the landlord i.e. Rs. 8000/- per month as provided in compliance of the order of this Court dated 31.10.2025, be directed to be paid in cash to the revisionist.

4. This Court finds no ground to order for the amount of rent to be paid in cash to the revisionist/wife.

5. Opposite party No. 2 is directed to file his counter affidavit within two weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the revisionist within a week thereafter.

6. List this case as fresh on 07.05.2026 along with connected matter.

7. Revisionist (wife) and opposite party No. 2 (husband) are directed to appear in person before this Court on the next date, to clarify whether the revisionist wants to reside in the accommodation provided by opposite party No. 2.

(Prashant Mishra-I,J.)

April 15, 2026

Kashifa