



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 7927 of 2026

Hariom Khandelwal And 3 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Himanshu Singh, Nitin Jain
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Subham

Court No. - 79

HON'BLE TEJ PRATAP TIWARI, J.

1. Supplementary affidavit filed today by learned counsel for the applicants is taken on record.
2. Heard Sri Himanshu Singh, learned counsel for the applicants, Sri Subham, learned counsel for opposite party no. 2, learned A.G.A for the State and perused the record.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant contended that at page 11 of the supplementary affidavit, the copy of compromise is annexed as annexure no.1. It is argued that as such the present proceedings be quashed. The learned counsel for opposite party no. 2 has also submits his consent on it.
3. Looking to the facts of the case, both the parties are directed to appear before the court concerned and shall file the said compromise duly signed by all the parties for verification within a period of three weeks from today. Thereafter, the court concerned shall verify the same within three weeks and send his report to this Court.
4. List after eight weeks.
5. Till the next date of listing, no coercive action shall be taken against the applicants in Case No. 658/IX/2020 (State Vs. Hariom and others), under Sections 498A, 323, 504, 506, 354, 380 IPC, P.S. Barsana, District Mathura, pending in the court of Additional Civil Judge (J.D)/Judicial Magistrate, Chata, Mathura.

6. Learned counsels for the parties are also at liberty to file the order of compromise through an affidavit in the present matter.

(Tej Pratap Tiwari,J.)

March 17, 2026

PS