



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 2242 of 2026**

Yadvendra @ Yadvendra Yadav

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Manoj Kumar Yadav, Sanjay Kumar
Yadav

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Shri Sanjay Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri B.P. Maurya, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime no.316 of 2025, under Sections 115(2), 352, 351(3), 109, 3(5) of B.N.S., Police Station Didarganj, District Azamgarh, with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicant may be released on bail.
3. It has been argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that no specific role has been assigned to the applicant and as per allegations in the first information report, two group of persons are said to have indulged in fighting with each other, as a result of which, two persons of both sides have received injuries but none of their injuries are dangerous to life. It was also submitted that applicant undertakes to co-operate during trial and he would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicant is granted anticipatory bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions of bail.
4. On the other hand, learned AGA submits that the applicant is having criminal history of two cases, which has not been explained.
5. It may be stated that in case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v.**

State of Maharashtra, (2011) 1 SCC 694, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

6. In the event of arrest of the applicant- **Yadvendra @ Yadvendra Yadav** involved in the aforesaid case crime shall be released on interim anticipatory bail till 08.04.2026 on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence and that he would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicant would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

7. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicant.

8. List this case on **08.04.2026 as fresh in top twenty cases.**

9. Applicant is directed to file supplementary affidavit bringing on record the entire criminal history.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

March 10, 2026
RKM