



2026:AHC:57354

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**  
**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S**  
**482 BNSS No. - 1804 of 2026**

Munendra Kumar And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Satendra Kumar, Sudhanshu  
Kumar Singh  
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 72**

**HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.**

1. Heard Sri Sudhanshu Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicants and Sri Uma Shankar Mishra, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime No. 207 of 2019 under Section 323, 308, 504, 506 of IPC with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicant may be released on bail.
3. It is contended by the learned counsel for the applicants that no specific role has been assigned to any of them, and none of the injuries sustained by the injured person have been found to be dangerous to life. It is further submitted that the applicants have no criminal history. It was also submitted that applicants undertake to co-operate during trial and they would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicants are granted anticipatory bail, they shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions of bail.
4. On the other hand, the learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that the applicants have not fully disclosed or explained their entire criminal history. It is

further submitted that the injuries received by the injured have not been found to be dangerous to life.

5. It may be stated that in case of ***Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra, (2011) 1 SCC 694***, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, the Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

6. Considering the above submissions of the learned counsel for the applicants and without expressing any opinion on merits, the applicants-**Munendra Kumar And Munnesh Kumar @ Munesh Kumar** involved in the aforesaid case crime be released on interim anticipatory bail till 09.04.2026 on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicants shall not tamper with evidence and that they would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicants would cooperate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of interim anticipatory bail.

(iv) The applicants shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

7. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicants herein.

8. List this case as fresh on **09.04.2026 in top twenty cases.**

9. Learned counsel for the applicants is directed to file a supplementary affidavit explaining the entire criminal history of the applicants by the next date fixed.

**March 16, 2026**

Neetu

**(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)**