



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 1716 of 2026**

Jai Prakash Dubey

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Raghuvansh Misra, Anurag Vajpeyi, Sandeep Kumar Srivastava
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri V.P. Srivastava, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Sri Raghuvansh Misra and Sri Sandeep Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Padmakar Pandey, learned counsel for the first informant and Sri Pankaj Saxena, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime no. 126 of 2025 under Section 120-B, 420, 467, 468, 471, 447, 506, 452, 384, 307, 34 I.P.C., Police Station Gwaltoli, District Kanpur Nagar with the prayer that in the event of arrest, the applicant may be released on bail.

3. It is submitted by learned Senior Counsel appearing for the applicant that co-accused Raj Kumar Shukla and Sarvesh Dubey have already been granted interim anticipatory bail by this Court and role of the applicant stands on much lesser footing as the applicant is said to be a lessee of the alleged sale deed which is said to have been executed on the basis of a forged power of attorney by co-accused Raj Kumar Shukla. It is further submitted that the alleged lease deed has already been cancelled in the year 2016.

4. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail and prays for some time to file counter affidavit in the matter.

5. Co-accused Raj Kumar Shukla and Sarvesh Dubey have already been granted interim anticipatory bail by this Court and applicant is said to be a lessee of the alleged lease deed which is said to have been executed on the basis of a forged power of attorney by co-accused Raj Kumar Shukla. It is submitted that the alleged lease deed has already been cancelled in the year 2016.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, let the applicant **Jai Prakash Dubey** in the event of

his arrest, be released on interim anticipatory bail on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned **till 18.3.2026** with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence and shall appear before the trial Court either in person or through counsel on each and every date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned and shall not seek any undue adjournment;

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicant would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

7. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of the interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant.

8. Put up this case as fresh on 18.3.2026 at 02.00 p.m.

9. In the meantime, learned AGA may file counter affidavit in the matter by the next date fixed.

10. Applicant may also file rejoinder affidavit, if he so desires.

February 27, 2026
Madhurima

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)