



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 2467 of 2026

Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Digvijay Tiwari
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 33

HON'BLE VIKAS BUDHWAR, J.

1. Contention of the learned counsel for the writ petitioner is that the writ petitioner has been placed under suspension on the allegations which do not partake the character of misconduct whereby major punishment can be imposed. This Court after perusing the suspension order, prima facie, is of the opinion that the suspension order does not recite that there is any inquiry pending or contemplated which is one of the necessary ingredients for suspending an employee in view of the provisions contained under U.P. Government Servant (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1999.

2. Sri Gaurav Singh, learned Standing Counsel submits that the said ground has not been taken by the writ petitioner in any paragraphs of the writ petition, however, the same being legal in nature. He would seek time to obtain instructions.

3. Time sought for is accorded.

4. Put up this case on 24.02.2026 at 2:00 P.M. in the additional cause list.

(Vikas Budhwar,J.)

February 19, 2026

Rajesh