

**Court No. - 50**

**Case :-** WRIT - B No. - 38885 of 2002

**Petitioner :-** Ram Kawal And Another

**Respondent :-** Board Of Rev. U.P. At Alld. And Others

**Counsel for Petitioner :-** L.K.Tripathi,Om Prakash Pandey

**Counsel for Respondent :-** C.S.C.,Narendra Kumar  
Chaturvedi,Pankaj Kumar Mishra,S.N.Tripathi,V.K.Singh

**Hon'ble Chandra Kumar Rai,J.**

**Order on Civil Misc. Delay Condonation Application No. 160501 of 2014 and Substitution Application No. 160498 of 2014**

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The instant applications have been filed in respect to deceased respondent no. 3 along with the prayer for condonation of delay.
3. Proposed heirs are represented by Sri Narendra Kumar Chaturvedi, advocate.
4. Explanation given for delay is satisfactory.
5. Delay in filing the substitution application is condoned.
6. Let the word "deceased" be mentioned against the name of respondent no. 3 and the name of legal heirs as mentioned in the paragraph no. 6 of the affidavit be substituted as respondent nos. 3/1 to 3/5.
7. Applications are accordingly **allowed**.

**Order on Civil Misc. Delay Condonation Application No. 12 of 2023 and Substitution Application No. 13 of 2023**

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The instant applications have been filed in respect to deceased respondent no. 3/1 and respondent no. 5 along with the prayer for condonation of delay.
3. Proposed heirs are represented by Sri Narendra Kumar Chaturvedi, advocate.
4. Explanation given for delay is satisfactory.

5. Delay in filing the substitution application is condoned.

6. Let the word "deceased" be mentioned against the name of respondent no. 3/1- Shambhumal, respondent no. 5-Vijay Paratap and the name of their legal heirs as mentioned in the prayer clause be substituted as respondent nos. 3/1/1 & 3/1/2 as well as respondent nos. 5/1 and 5/2.

7. Applications are accordingly **allowed**.

**Order on writ-petition**

List this matter on **06.03.2025**.

**Order Date :- 7.2.2025**

Neetu