



2026:AHC:103700

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**  
**CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 6976 of 2026**

Sushila Devi

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Rachana Yadav, Ramanuj Yadav  
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 72**

**HON'BLE RAJIV LOCHAN SHUKLA, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Nitesh Kumar Srivastava, learned A.G.A. for the State.
2. This bail application has been moved by the applicant for seeking bail in Case Crime No. 657 of 2025, under Section 319(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 316(5), 61(2) BNS, police station Karvi Kotwali Nagar, district Chitrakoot during the pendency of the trial.
3. The learned counsel for the applicant contended that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the applicant further contended that the applicant is an old lady aged about 67 years. She is said to have received a higher pension amount. She does not know how to operate a mobile phone, which was misused by the co-accused Amrit Lal and Sandeep Srivastava, who had taken her mobile phone under the guise of helping her. The co-accused, Sandeep, is a treasury officer and co-accused Amrit Lal is a broker, who are the primary accused in the present case and are presently confined in jail in the present case. Similarly situated co-accused Laxmi Devi and Kamla Devi have been granted bail by this Court. The applicant claims parity. The applicant further contends that she has no criminal antecedents.
4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for bail and has

contended that a huge scam of receiving excess pension has been found, and the first information report has been lodged against 97 named accused, and a total amount of Rs. 43,13,10,339/- has been misappropriated from the treasury. He further contended that the applicant had received Rs. 1,84,77,232/- in her account. Learned A.G.A., however, does not deny the fact that similarly situated co-accused Laxmi Devi and Kamla Devi have been granted bail by this Court.

5. I have taken note of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties. The applicant is an old lady aged about 67 years and is in jail since 8.1.2026. A charge sheet has been submitted against the applicant. The applicant is no longer required for any custodial interrogation or recovery. Similarly situated co-accused Laxmi Devi and Kamla Devi, who are said to have received excess pension in their accounts, have been granted bail by this Court in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 2663 of 2026 and 3757 of 2026. The case of the applicant stands on a similar footing.

6. Taking into account the above-mentioned facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to grant bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the bail application is allowed.

7. Let the applicant Sushila Devi be released on bail in the aforesaid case on her furnishing a personal bond and two sureties, each in the like amount, to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to the following conditions:

1. The applicant shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence; shall not pressurize or intimidate the prosecution witnesses; shall appear before the trial court on each and every date fixed, unless personal appearance is exempted.

2. He shall not indulge in any criminal activity during the pendency of the trial; and shall not misuse the liberty of bail in any manner whatsoever.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the court

concerned shall be at liberty to cancel the bail of the applicant.

9. It is made clear that the observations made in this order are solely for the purposes of deciding this bail application and shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case.

**May 5, 2026**

Sumaira

**(Rajiv Lochan Shukla,J.)**