



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 1575 of 2026**

Deen Mohammad Sheikh

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Ram Krishna Mishra, Suresh Chand Yadav
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) :

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri R.K. Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri B.P. Maurya, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. Present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime No. 79 of 2025, under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC, Police Station Pawai, District Azamgarh with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicant may be released on bail.

3. At the very outset, learned A.G.A. submits that he has not received any instructions as yet.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is innocent and he has an apprehension that he may be arrested in the above-mentioned case. He further submits that no offence is made out against the applicant and the alleged incident is said to have taken place in the year 2017 and the FIR has been lodged after 8 years in 2025. It is submitted that the applicant has been falsely implicated and his signature does not match with the signature of the portal. It is submitted that as per FIR, embezzlement of Rs. 1,68,000/- of public money is said to have been caused by the applicant along with other co-accused. It was also submitted that applicant undertakes to co-operate during trial and he would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicant is granted anticipatory bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions of bail.

5. It may be stated that in case of *Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra*, (2011) 1 SCC 694, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, the Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

6. Considering the above submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant and without expressing any opinion on merits, the applicant- **Deen Mohammad Sheikh** involved in the aforesaid case crime be released on **interim anticipatory bail** on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned **till 27.3.2026** with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence and that he would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicant would cooperate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of interim interim anticipatory bail bail.

(iv) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

7. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant herein.

8. List this case as fresh on 27.3.2026.

9. In the meantime, learned AGA may obtain instructions in the matter.

February 17, 2026
Abhishek

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)