



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 1253 of 2026

Rahul Pathak

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s)	:	Atul Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Pankaj Kumar Sharma

Court No. - 87

HON'BLE PRAVEEN KUMAR GIRI, J.

1. Heard Sri Atul Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the revisionist and Sri Pankaj Kumar Sharma, learned counsel for O.P. No.2.

2. Learned counsel for the revisionist submits that the instant criminal revision has been preferred with the relief which has been mentioned in the prayer clause. The relief mentioned in the prayer clause of the revision is delineated below:-

"It is, therefore most respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble court may graciously be pleased to allow this revision and to set aside the Judgment and order dated 27-11-2025, passed by the Principal Judge Family Court, Kasganj in Crl. Misc. Case No. 24/2023 (Smt. Mamta Vs. Rahul Pathak), under Section 125 Cr. P.C. by which the learned Principal Judge Family Court vide order dated 27.11.2025 allowed the case of Opp. Party and imposed 4000/- rupees per month from the date of filing of application, and/or pass such other and further order which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit, in the interest of justice otherwise the revisionist will suffer irreparable loss and injury."

3. Learned counsel for the O.P. No.2 prays for and is granted two weeks time to file counter affidavit.

4. One week thereafter will be available to learned counsel for the revisionist for filing rejoinder affidavit.

5. List this case on 28.04.2026 as fresh.

(Praveen Kumar Giri,J.)

April 6, 2026
Manish Himwan