



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 1253 of 2026

Rahul Pathak

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s)	:	Atul Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 44

HON'BLE VINAI KUMAR DWIVEDI, J.

This criminal revision has been filed by the revisionist, Rahul Pathak, against the impugned judgment and order dated 27.11.2025 passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Kasganj in Criminal Misc. Case No. 24 of 2023 (Smt. Mamta v. Rahul Pathak), under Section 125 Cr.P.C. By the impugned order, the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Kasganj has awarded maintenance of Rs. 4,000/- per month in favour of opposite party no. 2, Smt. Mamta. Aggrieved by the said order, the revisionist has preferred the present criminal revision before this Court.

Mr. Pankaj Kumar Sharma, learned counsel, appears and submits that he shall file his Vakalatnama on behalf of opposite party no. 2 during the course of the day.

Since learned counsel for opposite party no. 2 is present, no notice is required to be issued to her at this stage.

Opposite party no. 2 may file counter affidavit within one week. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within one week thereafter.

Put up this matter **as fresh on 09.03.2026.**

(Vinai Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

February 18, 2026

Aditya