



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 528 BNSS No. - 8275 of 2026

Subhash Sharma

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Mishra Vikas Kumar
Prabhunath, Prashant Ji
Pandey

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 83

HON'BLE SANJIV KUMAR, J.

Heard Mr. Prashant Ji Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and learned AGA for the State.

Notices were issued to opposite party no.2. As per report of learned C.J.M., Meerut dated 06.04.2026, notices upon opposite party no.2 have been served personally, however, no one has appeared on behalf of opposite party no.2 to oppose this application.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that as per FIR, the presence of the applicant at the place of occurrence has been alleged on the basis of CCTV footage, whereas during the course of investigation, the Investigating Officer perused the C.C.T.V. footage, in which he found that the applicant was not present at the time of incident.

Learned AGA submits that the applicant has criminal history of total three cases, which he has concealed before this Court in this application.

Learned AGA is directed to seek instructions whether the applicant was present at the place of occurrence in the C.C.T.V. footage or not.

Learned counsel for the applicant may explain the criminal history of the applicant by way of filing a supplementary affidavit within two weeks.

Put up, as fresh, on 06.05.2026.

April 23, 2026
Subham

(Sanjiv Kumar,J.)