



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 652 of 2024

Smt Archana Dubey And Another

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s) : Mujeeb Khan, Nitu Yadav, Ravindra Sharma

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : Atipriya Gautam, G.A., Madhaw Pandey, Vinod Kumar Mishra

Court No. - 86

HON'BLE HARVIR SINGH, J.

1. Learned counsel for the revisionist and learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 are present. Revisionist is also present in-person.
2. Learned counsel for the revisionist has opened her arguments, however in the absence of main arguing counsel on behalf of opposite party no.2, the case could not be taken up for hearing.
3. Learned counsel for the opposite Party No. 2 submits that he shall convey the message that his client, henceforth, will not disturb the studies or any other activity affecting the growth and development of the child. It is further submitted that, notwithstanding the ongoing dispute between the husband and wife, if any subsequent action is found to adversely affect the child, the party concerned shall be liable for the consequences thereof.
4. List this case on 05.02.2026.

(Harvir Singh,J.)

January 28, 2026

Virendra