



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 964 of 2026

Umesh

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. Through Principal Secretary Home
Government Of U.P. At Lucknow And 2 Others

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s)

: Ajendra Kumar

Counsel for Opposite Party(s)

: G.A.

Court No. - 87

HON'BLE ABDUL SHAHID, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the revisionist and the learned AGA for the State.
2. Learned counsel for the revisionist has submitted that the impugned order dated 12.12.2025 has been passed without application of judicial mind. The opposite party no.2 is the Gram Pradhan and colluded with the police authorities and hence by this reason, both the opposite parties have been exonerated by the police.
3. Learned counsel for the revisionist has further argued that all the witness of facts viz. PW-1, PW-2 and PW-3 have made allegation of committing crime by the opposite party nos. 2 and 3 and a case against them is made out from perusal of the statements. However, the impugned order was passed. The impugned order is perverse and passed without proper appreciation of fact and evidences recorded by PW-1 to PW-3. The application under section 319 Cr.P.C. is rejected by the impugned order dated 12.12.2025 without proper appreciation of witnesses of PW-1, Umesh Chandra, PW-2 Preeti and PW-3 Utendra Singh.
4. The matter requires consideration.
5. Issue notice to opposite party nos. 2 and 3.
6. Counter affidavit may be filed within three weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
7. List this matter as fresh on 17.3.2026.

February 10, 2026

sfa/

(Abdul Shahid,J.)