



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 1346 of 2026**

Pratima Bharti @ Pratibha

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Raghvendra
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri Raghvendra, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri B.P. Singh, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime no. 132 of 2016 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC, Police Station Rajghat, District Gorakhpur with the prayer that in the event of arrest, the applicant may be released on bail.
3. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that applicant has not received any benefit from the alleged forged document and co-accused Reena Yadav has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 25.11.2025 passed in Crl. Misc. Anticipatory Bail Application No. 9517 of 2025 and other co-accused persons Subhash Chand Yadav, Ajay Singh and Manvendra Singh have also been granted anticipatory bail by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.
4. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. submits that he has not received instructions in the matter as yet.
5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, let the applicant **Pratima Bharti @ Pratibha** in the event of his arrest, be released on interim anticipatory bail on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned **till**

25.3.2026 with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence and that he would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicant would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

6. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of the interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicant.

7. Put up this case as fresh on 25.3.2026 at 02.00 p.m.

8. In the meantime, learned AGA is directed to obtain instructions in the matter by the next date fixed.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

February 11, 2026

Madhurima