



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 933 of 2026

Smt Garima

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s) : Dinesh Kumar Gupta
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 88

HON'BLE VINOD DIWAKAR, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the revisionist and learned AGA for the State.
2. The instant revision has been filed by the revisionist-wife against the impugned order dated 4.11.2025 passed by Principal Judge, Family Court, Hamirpur in maintenance Case No.343 of 2020 whereby the Family Court has awarded maintenance of Rs.3,000/- per month to the revisionist-wife from the date of filing of an application.
3. Learned counsel for the revisionist states that affidavit of assets and liabilities has been filed withholding material information with regard to the income of the respondent-husband. The respondent-husband claimed himself to be a B.A. holder and a labourer. The respondent-husband also marked cross against all the information sought in the affidavit of assets and liabilities. It is *prima facie* observed that the affidavit of assets and liabilities has not been filed by disclosing the true and correct facts.
4. Matter requires consideration.
5. Issue notice to opposite party No.2. Steps be taken accordingly.
6. Learned counsel for the opposite party No.2 may file counter affidavit within two weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within one week, thereafter. Further, the opposite party No.2 is directed to file fresh affidavit of assets and liabilities by disclosing all the material facts.
7. Put up this case on 15.4.2026, as fresh.
8. The proceedings of the present petition shall not come in a way of learned

Family Court to continue with the proceedings before it.

February 9, 2026
LN Tripathi

(Vinod Diwakar,J.)