



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 814 of 2026

Ajay Kumar Yadav

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s)	:	Brajesh Nath Rai, Rajeev Upadhyay
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A., Sudhanshu Kumar Mishra

Court No. - 87

HON'BLE ABDUL SHAHID, J.

1. Heard Sri Rahul Mishra, learned Senior Counsel, assisted by Sri Brajesh Nath Rai, learned counsel for the revisionist, and Sri Ratnesh Kumar, holding brief for Sri Sudhanshu Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for opposite party no. 2.

2. Learned Senior Counsel submitted that in the present case, the examination-in-chief of P.W.-1 was recorded, and the cross-examination partly took place; thereafter, the cross-examination was closed on 23.12.2021. The learned trial court recorded that the learned counsel for the accused did not cross-examine the witness; hence, the cross-examination was closed at that stage. The examination-in-chief of P.W.-1 was recorded on 02.11.2019.

3. Learned Senior Counsel has also submitted that the statement of P.W.-2 Smt. Reena Devi was recorded on 20.07.2022 and her cross examination was also closed. He further submitted that the statement of P.W.-5 Dr. Akhlaq Ahmad has been recorded on 10.07.2023 and his cross examination was also closed on the very same day on 10.07.2023 itself.

4. He contended that the cross-examination of the star witnesses as well as the formal witnesses of the prosecution would cause prejudice to the accused persons in Sessions Trial No. 480 of 2018, *State of U.P. vs. Ajay Kumar Yadav*, arising out of Case Crime No. 256 of 2018, under Sections 498-A, 304-B I.P.C. and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, Police Station Pipraich, District Gorakhpur.

5. On the request of learned counsel for opposite party no. 2, list this matter tomorrow, i.e., 12.02.2026, as fresh.

6. No further adjournment shall be granted.

February 11, 2026
K.K. Maurya

(Abdul Shahid,J.)