

Court No. - 81

Case :- CRIMINAL APPEAL No. - 2791 of 2023

Appellant :- Faisal

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Appellant :- Smt. Richa Dixita, Sudhir Dixit, Utkarsh Dixit

Counsel for Respondent :- G.A.

Hon'ble Manoj Bajaj, J.

During the course of hearing it is not disputed by learned counsel that this appeal has been preferred by convict- Faisal to challenge the impugned judgement of conviction dated 08.02.2023 as well as the order of sentence dated 14.02.2023, but in support of the appeal, no signed power of Attorney/ Vakalatnama by the convict engaging Mr. Sudhir Dixit, Advocate as counsel has been filed.

Mr. Sudhir Dixit, learned counsel states that previously the application seeking bail on behalf of accused/ convict was moved by him, and the said case was also filed on the strength of the memorandum of appearance (Parcha). He further submits that since the prayer for suspension of sentence is accompanied by an affidavit sworn by Raheesuddin, father of convict, therefore, it would fulfill the required conditions for filing and maintaining the appeal on behalf of convict.

However, learned counsel prays for an adjournment to address this issue with the case law.

List on 28.11.2024.

Order Date :- 21.11.2024

Shiv