



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 459 of 2026

Meera Devi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Achchhey Lal Tiwari, Devansh Misra, Keshari Kumar Tiwari
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: C.S.C., Rajesh Kumar Pandey

Court No. - 55

HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.

1. Heard Mr. Devansh Mishra & Mr. Achchhey Lal Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Rajesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for respondent no.5 and Mr. R.C. Srivastava, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the mutation order dated 20.11.2014 was rightly passed in favour of petitioner recording finding on possession as well as execution of sale deed along with Titimma in favour of petitioner. He further submitted that the Additional Commissioner has illegally interfered with the order passed by the Tahsildar dated 20.11.2014 and remitted the matter back for fresh consideration of restoration application. He further submitted that Board of Revenue has committed further illegality in recording the finding about the sale deed in question.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for respondent no.5 submitted that the writ petition arising out of mutation proceeding should not be entertained. He further submitted that Additional Commissioner has sent the matter back before the Tahsildar for consideration of restoration application, as such, petitioner has full opportunity to contest restoration proceeding rather writ petition before this Court accordingly no interference is required against the order passed by the Additional Commissioner.

4. Matter requires consideration.

5. Issue notice to respondent no.6 returnable at an early date.
6. Steps be taken within ten days.
7. Respondent nos.5 & 6 shall file counter affidavit within two weeks.
8. Petitioner shall file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks thereafter.
9. List this case in the daily cause list on 31.3.2026 in top ten cases.
10. Until further orders of this Court, the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 27.1.2015 passed by Additional Commissioner (Administration), Varanasi Division, Varanasi and order dated 13.11.2025 passed by Board of Revenue, U.P., Prayagraj/ Allahabad shall remain stayed.

(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)

February 11, 2026

Rameez