



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 1711 of 2026

Jitendra Kumar Pandey

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Krishnanand, Rakesh Kumar Gupta,
Virendra Singh
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C.

Court No. - 6

HON'BLE PRAKASH PADIA, J.

1. After hearing counsel for the parties the judgment was reserved by me vide order dated 28.04.2026. While delivering the judgment it transpires that certain amendments are required in the prayer clause of the writ petition as well as the authority who pass the order should also be impleaded. Hence the matter was directed to be listed for further hearing.

2. Pursuant to the aforesaid , impleadment application has been filed by the petitioner.

Order on Civil Misc. Impleadment Application No.5 of 2026.

3. Cause shown sufficient. impleadment application is allowed.

4. Counsel for the petitioner is permitted to carry out necessary corrections in the writ petition during the course of the day.

Order on Civil Misc. Amendment Application No.6 of 2026:-

The present amendment application has been filed with the prayer to permit the petitioner to substitute the prayer contained in the prayer clause of the amendment application in place of original prayer no.1 supported by an affidavit.

2. Cause shown is sufficient. Amendment Application is allowed.

3. Counsel for petitioner is permitted to delete the prayer no.1 and

substitute the following in the array of the prayer no.1 during the course of the day.

Order on Writ Petition:-

1. Heard counsel for the parties.
2. Judgment reserved.
3. Put up for delivery of judgment on 18.05.2026.

(Prakash Padia,J.)

May 13, 2026

Swati