

Court No. - 50

Case :- WRIT - B No. - 29231 of 1999

Petitioner :- Krishnanand

Respondent :- The D.D.C.Ballia And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Jitendra Kumar

Upadhyay, Prashant Kumar Tripathi, Ramesh Chandra

Upadhyay, Tarun Kumar Srivastava, Virendra Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Ashutosh Kumar

Pandey, K.C. Tripathi, Sanktha Rai, Sidharth Pandey, Sudhakar Pandey

Hon'ble Chandra Kumar Rai, J.

**In Re: Civil Misc. Delay Condonation/ Substitution
Application Nos. 20 and 21 of 2024**

1. Heard counsel for the parties.
2. The instant applications have been filed in respect to deceased respondent no.4 along with the prayer for condonation of delay.
3. Notices were issued to the proposed legal heirs.
4. As per Office report, service is deemed sufficient upon the proposed heirs. Vakalatnama of the proposed respondent nos. 4/2 and 4/3 has been filed by Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Pandey, Advocate.
5. The explanation given for delay is satisfactory.
6. Delay in filing the substitution application is condoned.
7. Let the word deceased be mentioned against the name of respondent no.4 and the names of his legal heirs as mentioned in the prayer clause be substituted as respondent nos. 4/1, 4/2 and 4/3 respectively.
8. The applications are accordingly **allowed**.

**In Re: Civil Misc. Substitution Application Nos. 102894
of 2014**

1. Heard counsel for the parties.
2. The instant applications have been filed in respect to deceased respondent no.5.

3. Notices were issues to the proposed legal heirs.
4. As per Office report, service is deemed sufficient upon the proposed heirs. Vakalatnama of proposed respondent no.5/2 has been filed by Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Pandey, Advocate.
5. The application is within limitation.
6. Let the word deceased be mentioned against the name of respondent no.5. and the names of his legal heirs as mentioned in the prayer clause be substituted as respondent nos. 5/1 and 5/2 respectively.
8. The application is accordingly **allowed**.

In Re: Civil Misc. Delay Condonation/ Substitution Application Nos. 18 and 19 of 2024

1. Heard counsel for the parties.
2. The instant applications have been filed in respect to deceased respondent no.2 along with the prayer for condonation of delay.
3. Notices were issues to the proposed legal heirs.
4. As per Office report, service is deemed sufficient upon the proposed heirs.
5. The explanation given for delay is satisfactory.
6. Delay in filing the substitution application is condoned.
7. Let the word deceased be mentioned against the name of respondent no.2 and the names of her legal heirs as mentioned in the prayer clause be substituted as respondent no. 2/1.
8. The applications are accordingly **allowed**.

In Re: Civil Misc. Substitution Application No. 138421 of 2002

1. Issue notice to the proposed heirs returnable at an early date.
2. Steps be taken within 10 days.

In Re: Civil Misc. Delay Condonation/ Substitution Application Nos.13 and 14 of 2021

1. Issue notice to the proposed heirs returnable at an early date.
2. Steps be taken within 10 days.

In Re: Civil Misc. Delay Condonation/ Substitution Application Nos. 92343 and 92348 of 2012

1. Issue notice to the proposed heirs returnable at an early date.
2. Steps be taken within 10 days.

In Re: Civil Misc. Substitution Application No.92335 of 2012

1. Issue notice to the proposed heirs returnable at an early date.
2. Steps be taken within 10 days.

In Re: Civil Misc. Substitution Application No.39473 of 2017

1. Issue notice to the proposed heirs returnable at an early date.
2. Steps be taken within 10 days.

In Re: Civil Misc. Delay Condonation/ Substitution Application Nos. 15 and 16 of 2022

1. Issue notice to the proposed heirs returnable at an early date.
2. Steps be taken within 10 days.

Order on Writ Petition

List this matter on 29.7.2025.

Order Date :- 28.4.2025/Vandana Y.