



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**FIRST APPEAL No. - 210 of 2019**

Deepa

.....Appellant(s)

Versus

Deepak Kumar

.....Respondent(s)

---

Counsel for Appellant(s) : Manoj Kumar Singh, Rafiq Anees Khan, Rajesh Kumar Singh, Sudhir Kumar (Chandraul)

Counsel for Respondent(s) : Hemant Kumar, Mohd. Akbar Shah Alam Khan, Pradeep Kumar Keshri, Prem Narayan Tiwari, Sanjiv Kumar Dwivedi, Tahir Husain, Vivek Tripathi

---

**Court No. - 39**

**HON'BLE ARINDAM SINHA, J.**  
**HON'BLE SATYA VEER SINGH, J.**

1. Ms. Rafiq Anees Khan, learned advocate appears and submits, appellant is personally present. She steps forward and we see her. On query she says, she wants to prosecute the appeal but she does not have the means, to either pay fees to Ms. Khan or to obtain 'no objection' and engage another learned advocate. On query she submits, she has faith on Ms. Khan. Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Dwivedi, learned advocate appears on behalf of respondent.

2. On query Ms. Khan submits, she has been able to find out, maintenance being paid to appellant is no longer paid because by impugned judgment dated 24th December, 2018 of the Family Court, the marriage was dissolved. Mr. Dwivedi files paper book. There are two of them. Ms. Khan submits, she went to the department but there was no copy made available unless prescribed fee is paid.

3. Reproduced below are paragraphs 3 to 5 from our earlier order dated 25th November, 2025.

*"3. We find, the located Vakalatnama was accepted by only Mr. Chandraul, learned advocate. However, it was executed by appellant in*

*favour of both Mr. Chandraul and his junior Ms. Rafiq Anees Khan. On query Ms. Khan submits, she will accept the Vakalatnama here and now. The Vakalatnama is given to her and she appends her signature in acceptance thereof.*

*4. The appeal stands preferred against judgment dated 24th December, 2018 dissolving the marriage. Appellant was wife. Respondent is opposing the appeal. At this stage, Ms. Khan submits, she would want to once again confirm on her instruction or otherwise give 'no objection' in event appellant wants to engage some other learned advocate.*

*5. The appeal is adjourned for Ms. Khan to obtain instruction/give 'no objection'. In event she does not get any instruction and appellant also omits to appoint some other learned advocate, she must appear on adjourned date and seek leave to retire, upon confirming that she has informed website copy of this order to appellant."*

4. List the appeal on 13th January, 2026. Department to make English translation of impugned judgment dated 24th December, 2018 and place it in the file. Ms. Khan has leave to retire from acting on behalf of appellant. We appoint her as Amicus Curiae. We direct the department to make one more copy of the paper book and supply it to Ms. Khan.

**December 16, 2025**

Mohini

**(Arindam Sinha,J.)**

**(Satya Veer Singh,J.)**