



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 961 of 2026

Ashok Kumar And Another

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s)	:	Raj Narayan
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 87

HON'BLE ABDUL SHAHID, J.

1. Learned counsel for the revisionist has submitted that late Puroshottam, who is opposite party no.2 in the said case has adopted the revisionist no.2 Smt. Suman Rani. The revisionist no.1 Ashok Kumar has got no beneficiary with that adoption. The beneficiary, if any is revisionist no.2-Smt. Suman Rani.

2. Learned counsel for the revisionist has submitted that he does not want to seek any relief against the revisionist no.2 Smt. Suman Rani. He has impleaded her as proforma party because in the facts and circumstances of the case, she is party. He seek permission that revisionist no.2 Smt. Suman Rani be impleaded as proforma opposite party.

3. The permission is granted. The revisionist no.2, Smt. Suman Rani is to be transposed as opposite party no.3 as proforma opposite party. The amendment shall be incorporated during the course of the day.

4. Heard learned counsel for the revisionist.

5. The present criminal revision is preferred against the order dated 19.12.2025, passed by Judicial Magistrate, Court No.2, Mathura in Case No. 29017 of 2022 (State Vs. Ashok Kumar and others), rejecting the discharge application of the revisionist under Section 239 Cr.P.C. arising out of Case Crime No. 0014 of 2022, under Section 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, Police Station Govind Nagar, District Mathura.

6. Learned counsel for the revisionist has submitted that the revisionist-Ashok Kumar is not the beneficiary. The beneficiary, if any, is Smt. Suman Rani. He has submitted that the alleged forged document i.e. Transfer Certificate was issued by the School Mahor Vaish Junior High School, Mathura and it was issued by its the then Principal Sri Jagdish. One Jitendra

Kumar Sharma has moved an application under Right to Information Act, 2005 in the Brajraj Junior High School, Gali Peerpanch, District Mathura, wherein it has been informed by the school that the Suman Rani has passed Examination in the year 1977-78 and the T.C. was also issued on 10.7.1978 by the then Principal i.e. Jagdish. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the revisionist that the learned Additional District Judge, Mathura vide Suit No. 72 of 2015 (Ashok Kumar Vs. Purshottam Das) has passed an order dated 24.2.2020 in which on 22.5.2017, Smt. Suman Rani was also accepted by the learned court as legal heir/successor of the deceased late Purshottam Das. The revisionist has been falsely implicated in the present case and the Investigating Officer has submitted charge sheet against the revisionist without proper manner.

7. In view of facts and circumstances of the case, the matter requires consideration.

8. Issue notice to the opposite party nos. 2 and 3 by usual course.

9. Counter affidavit may be filed within three weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

10. Meanwhile, further proceedings of Case No. 29017 of 2022 (State Vs. Ashok Kumar and others), arising out of Case Crime No. 0014 of 2022, under Section 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, Police Station Govind Nagar, District Mathura, pending in the court of Judicial Magistrate, Court No.2, Mathura, would remain stayed against the revisionist-Ashok Kumar only.

11. This order has been granted exparte, hence in view of principle of natural justice, the revisionist is hereby directed to take steps by Speed Post/Registered AD within three days and file supplementary affidavit in compliance thereof within a week, failing which the appropriate order for vacation of interim order may be passed on the next date.

12. List this matter on 17.3.2026 as fresh.

February 10, 2026
sfa/

(Abdul Shahid,J.)