



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 681 of 2026

Dr Jitendra Singh Kushwaha

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Revisionist(s)	: Anand Kr Srivastava, Pratibha Asthana
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	: G.A.

Court No. - 88

HON'BLE VINOD DIWAKAR, J.

1. The instant petition has been preferred assailing the impugned judgment and order dated 20.11.2025 passed by the learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, Azamgarh in Case No. 475 of 2017, titled *Ranju Kushwaha and others vs. Dr. Jitendra Singh Kushwaha*, under Section 125 Cr.P.C., Police Station Tarwa, District Azamgarh, whereby the revisionist has been directed to pay maintenance of Rs. 5,000/- per month to opposite party no. 2 and Rs. 1,000/- each per month to opposite party nos. 3 and 4, from the date of the filing of the application.

2. Learned counsel for the revisionist submits that opposite party no. 2 is not the legally wedded wife of the revisionist. It is further submitted that the revisionist is already married to Chandra Kiran, and four children were born out of the said wedlock. According to the submission, opposite party no. 2 was working as a maid in the house of the revisionist for about ten years, and after filing the petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. claiming maintenance, she has started living separately.

3. Learned counsel for the revisionist has also drawn the attention of the Court to the statement of opposite party no. 2, wherein she has stated that she was not aware of the first marriage of the revisionist and that she had been told that the revisionist's earlier marriage had already been dissolved by the custom of "Chhutti-Chhutta". It is further contended that the factum of the first marriage was concealed from her at the time of the alleged marriage.

4. Considering the submissions advanced and the material available on record, certain factual aspects require clarification, particularly regarding the

marital status of the parties and the circumstances in which opposite party no. 2 claims to have entered into the relationship with the revisionist.

5. In view of the foregoing discussion, this Court deems it appropriate to give an opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties so as to reach a just and logical conclusion in the matter.

6. Accordingly, the S.H.O., Police Station Tarwa, District Azamgarh, is directed to ensure the presence of opposite party nos. 2 to 4 through Video Conferencing before this Court on the next date of hearing at 04:00 p.m.

7. Learned counsel for the revisionist undertakes to produce the revisionist as well as his first wife, namely Chandra Kiran, before this Court on the next date of hearing.

8. Put up this case as fresh on 11.3.2026 at 04:00 p.m.

March 9, 2026
Anil K. Sharma

(Vinod Diwakar,J.)