



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**WRIT - B No. - 395 of 2026**

Ram Charan And 2 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

---

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Sanjay Singh Sengar  
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C., Nand Kishor Mishra

---

**Court No. - 54**

**HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.**

1. Heard Mr. Sanjay Singh Sengar, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Nand Kishore Mishra, learned counsel for respondent no.5 and Mr. Rajesh Kumar Srivastava, learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the title objection filed by petitioner has been dismissed on the ground that village has been de-notified under Section 52 of U.P.C.H. Act on 6.7.2011 and for the first time restoration application has been filed by petitioners in the year 2018 against the order passed in the year 1999 for recording the name of respondent no.5 in place of Babu. He further submitted that the petitioners are also entitled to be recorded along with Gore Lal in place of Babu, as such, all the three impugned orders passed by the consolidation authorities should be set aside.

3. On the other hand, Mr. Nand Kishore Mishra, learned counsel for respondent no.5 submitted that there is no illegality in the impugned order passed by the consolidation authorities as petitioner's father Gundi was agreed for recording the name of the Gore Lal only in place of Babu that is why Gundi has not taken any steps in his life time and after death of Gundi, time barred claim has been setup by the petitioners which cannot be entertained in view of the finding recorded by the consolidation authorities.

4. Matter requires consideration.

5. Respondent no.5 shall file counter affidavit within six weeks.
6. Petitioners shall file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks thereafter.
7. List this case in the daily cause list on 27.7.2026.

**(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)**

**April 27, 2026**  
Rameez