



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 2215 of 2026

Chand

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Mohammad Zakir, Tanu Dubey
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 49

HON'BLE RAJEEV MISRA, J.
HON'BLE CHAWAN PRAKASH, J.

1. Heard Mr. Jagdish Singh Kundela, Advocate, holding brief of Miss Tanu Dubey, the learned counsel for petitioner and the learned A.G.A. for State-respondents 1, 2 and 3.
2. Even though the name of Mr. Uttam Singh, Advocate, is duly published in the cause list as counsel for first informant-respondent-4 but neither he nor any one on his behalf is present to oppose the present writ petition, even in revised call.
3. Perused the record.
4. On the matter being taken up, the learned A.G.A. submits that against the release order dated 25.07.2025, the State has already preferred Criminal Appeal Defective No. 5 of 2026 (State of U.P. Vs. Chand).
5. In view of above, connect above-mentioned Criminal Appeal Defective No. 5 of 2026 (State of U.P. Vs. Chand) along with present writ petition.
6. Matter shall re-appear for admission as fresh before appropriate Bench on 10.04.2026 along with connected matter, after obtaining an order of nomination from Hon'ble the Chief Justice, if necessary.
7. When the matter is listed next, the details of both the matters shall be duly published in the cause list.
8. The matter shall not be treated as tied up or part heard to this Bench.
9. Assignment, if any, stands discharged.

April 3, 2026
Vinay

(Chawan Prakash,J.) (Rajeev Misra,J.)