HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. WRIT PETITION No. - 1944 of 2026

Nesar Ahmad

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of Uttar Pradesh And 2 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Pavan Kumar Srivastava
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 45

HON'BLE RAJIV GUPTA, J.
HON'BLE ACHAL SACHDEV, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the impugned notice has been issued to the petitioner on the basis of vague and incomplete allegations and as such, the impugned notice is bad in law and is therefore, liable to be set-aside.

3. In view thereof, matter requires consideration.

4. Learned AGA prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file counter affidavit.

5. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within one week thereafter.

6. List this case on 24.04.2026.

7. Till then, the effect and operation of the impugned notice dated 09.12.2025 issued by respondent no.2, District Magistrate, Mau, in Case No. D202515510002247 (State Vs. Nesar Ahmad), under Section 3(1) of U.P. Control of Goonda Act, 1970, Police Station Dakshintola, District Mau, shall remain stayed, provided he files detailed reply to the impugned notice to the competent authority.

February 20, 2026
VKG

(Achal Sachdev,J.) (Rajiv Gupta,J.)

Digitally signed by :-
VIJAY KUMAR GUPTA
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad