



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

SPECIAL APPEAL DEFECTIVE No. - 55 of 2026

State Of U.P. And Another

.....Appellant(s)

Versus

Santosh Kumar Pandey

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Appellant(s) : Alok Kumar Tripathi, Tej Bhanu Pandey
Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C.

Court No. - 3

**HON'BLE SAUMITRA DAYAL SINGH, J.
HON'BLE INDRAJEET SHUKLA, J.**

1. Submission is, the observation of the learned single judge contained in paragraph 17 of the impugned order may not be sustained as proceeding against one delinquent employee may not be made conditional to the outcome of the proceedings described to be comparable, though against another employee that too from a separate transaction.
2. Shri R.K. Ojha, learned Senior Advocate prays for accommodation. If the appeal is to be opposed, objection to the delay condonation application may be filed by the next date.
3. Put up as fresh on 5.2.2026.

(Indrajeet Shukla,J.) (Saumitra Dayal Singh,J.)

January 29, 2026

Prakhar