



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 321 of 2026

Ram Naresh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Bhagwan Dutt Pandey

Counsel for Respondent(s) : C.S.C., Jagannath Singh, Sudhir Bharti

Court No. - 54

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. It is submitted that both the parties are real brothers. The Settlement Officer of Consolidation (in brevity 'S.O.C.') has illegally allotted 'L' shaped (multi corner) chaks, to the parties which are not in consonance with the provisions enunciated under Section 19 (1) (g) of the U.P.C.H. Act. It is further submitted that both the parties are entitled half share each over plots no.77 and 78. The Consolidation Officer has allotted chak no.194 (belongs to the petitioner) and chak no.323 (belongs to the contesting respondents) east-west in rectangular shape over the property in question. However, the S.O.C. carved out multi cornered 'L' shape chak.

2. Matter requires consideration.

3. Respondents no.1 to 3 are represented through the learned Standing Counsel. Respondents no.4 (Gaon Sabha) and private Respondent no.5 are also being represented through their respective counsel.

4. All the respondents pray for and are granted a week's time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two days thereafter.

5. Put up this matter **as fresh** on **09.02.2026**.

6. In paragraph no.4 of the writ petition, it is averred that the orders dated 20.12.2025 and 21.07.2025, passed by the Respondents no.2 and 3, have not been implemented and no delivery of possession has been given effect

to and the petitioner is in the possession over the property in question by the order dated 04.04.2025.

7. Till the next date of listing, effect and operation of the order dated 21.07.2025 passed by the S.O.C. and the order dated 20.12.2025 passed by the D.D.C. shall remain stayed.

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)

January 29, 2026

VR