



2026:AHC:28476

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 345 of 2026**

Lakhan Singh And 2 Others

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite Party(s)

---

Counsel for Revisionist(s) : Brij Bhushan Prasad Shrivastava, Gagan Pratap Singh  
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 87**

**HON'BLE ABDUL SHAHID, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the revisionists.
2. Learned counsel for the revisionists has submitted that the deceased died in her parental home by way of suicide and that the F.I.R. was lodged under Section 306 I.P.C. During the investigation, it came to light that the deceased had died within seven years of her marriage, and thereafter the charge sheet was filed under Sections 498-A, 304-B I.P.C. and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act against (1) Lakhan Singh, (2) Raghunath Singh, and (3) Pushpa Devi.
3. Learned counsel for the revisionists has further submitted that a discharge application was filed, which was rejected vide the impugned order dated 18.09.2025, and thereafter charges were framed on 18.10.2025. It is contended that there was no demand of dowry. No application was ever moved either by the deceased or by any of her family members alleging that any cruelty was caused to her or that any additional demand for dowry was made. There are no ingredients shown "soon before death." There was no torture. Even if one ingredient is not found, the offence under Section 304-B I.P.C. cannot be made out.
4. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the revision and submitted that the CDR and call details of the deceased and the accused persons have been collected and submitted. The final interpretation or appreciation of the evidence can only be done at the stage of trial.
5. Learned counsel for the revisionists has further submitted that false allegations have been levelled against them and that they have not committed any offence. There is no evidence available on record to prove any offence under Sections 306, 498-A, or 304-B I.P.C. The F.I.R. relating to the alleged incident dated 15.04.2022 was lodged on 16.04.2022 at 12:18 hours. The said F.I.R. was lodged after due deliberation and legal advice. The deceased committed suicide in her parental home, where she had been residing since 25.03.2022.

6. It is further submitted that the deceased was under stress due to the intervention of the complainant and her family members owing to alleged torture and cruelty by the in-laws, and she took her own life. The marriage of the deceased was solemnized on 02.05.2021 with Lakhan Singh, son of Raghunath Singh. After the marriage, her matrimonial relatives, namely Lakhan Singh, Raghunath Singh, Pushpa Devi, and Rukesh, allegedly demanded one car as additional dowry and, on non-fulfilment of the said demand, subjected her to cruelty and torture. After detailed investigation, the charge sheet was filed against the revisionists.

7. The learned trial court has passed a detailed order holding that the death of the deceased occurred within seven years of her marriage and that there was consistent cruelty and demand for dowry. Accordingly, the ingredients of Sections 498-A and 304-B I.P.C. were found to be made out.

8. It is submitted that no mini trial or meticulous appreciation of evidence is permissible at the stage of framing of charges and that sufficient evidence exists for framing the charges.

9. After considering all the evidence and after providing an opportunity of hearing to the revisionists, the impugned order dated 18.09.2025 rejecting the discharge application was passed. Thereafter, charges were framed on 18.10.2025, and the trial has begun.

10. There is no illegality or irregularity in the impugned order.

11. Accordingly, the criminal revision is liable to be dismissed and is dismissed.

**February 9, 2026**  
K.K. Maurya

**(Abdul Shahid,J.)**