



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482
BNSS No. - 575 of 2026**

Neelam And 2 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Rakesh Kumar Maurya, Saroj Kumar
Tiwari

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A., Sharad Chand Rai

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the applicants is taken on record.
2. Heard Shri Rakesh Kumar Maurya along with Shri Saroj Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri Sharad Chand Rai, learned counsel for the first informant, Shri B.P. Maurya, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
3. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime no.490 of 2025, under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 109(1) and 351(3) of B.N.S., Police Station Akrabad, District Aligarh, with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicants may be released on bail.
4. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicants that no specific role has been assigned to any of the applicant and none of the injuries of the injured have been found to be dangerous to life. It is also submitted that the applicants are having no criminal history. It was also submitted that applicants undertake to co-operate during trial and they would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicants are granted anticipatory bail, they shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions of bail.
5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the first informant prays for sometime to file counter affidavit.

6. Learned AGA has also opposed the application for anticipatory bail.

7. It may be stated that in case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra, (2011) 1 SCC 694**, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

8. In the event of arrest of the applicants - **Neelam, Satto @ Satywati and Reshma Devi** involved in the aforesaid case crime shall be released on interim anticipatory bail till 24.02.2026 on their furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicants shall not tamper with evidence and that they would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicants shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicants would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicants shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

9. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicants.

10. List this case on **24.02.2026 as fresh at 02:00 P.M.**

11. Learned counsel for the first informant may file counter affidavit by the next date.

February 16, 2026
RKM

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)