



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 225 of 2026

Kamlapat

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 4 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Kamlesh Kumar Tripathi, Reema Kumari, Subedar Mishra
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 54

HON'BLE DINESH PATHAK, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. It is submitted that the evidence adduced by the parties was available before the revisional court, and he was competent enough to decide the matter on merits rather than to remit the same before the Consolidation Officer with a direction to initiate fresh proceedings after giving an opportunity of hearing to the parties. It is not the case of any of the parties that they were not afforded an opportunity of hearing before the order was passed by the courts below. Granting fresh opportunities to the parties from the initial stage is not justifiable in the eyes of law. The Deputy Director of Consolidation has failed to exercise the jurisdiction so vested in it by law under Section 48 of the U.P. Consolidation of Holdings Act, 1953.
3. The matter requires consideration.
4. Respondents no.1 to 3 are represented through the learned Standing Counsel. Issue notice to respondents no.4 and 5 fixing 12.05.2026 as the date.
5. List this matter on **12.05.2026**. In the meantime, both the parties shall exchange their respective affidavits, if any.
6. Till the next date of listing, the effect and operation of the order dated 20.12.2025 passed by the Deputy Director of Consolidation shall remain stayed.

March 20, 2026

vkg

(Dinesh Pathak,J.)