



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 2034 of 2026

Faiz Khan

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Anju Shukla, Shailesh Pandey
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 67

HON'BLE SAMEER JAIN, J.

1. Sri Shailesh Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Sri Vijay Kumar, learned AGA-I, for the State, are present.
2. At the very outset learned AGA submits that however, apart from the present case applicant is having criminal history of one another case but in spite of that in paragraph-28 of the affidavit filed in support of the instant bail application an averment has been made that applicant is not having any previous criminal history and, therefore, wrong averment has been made in paragraph-28 of the affidavit.
3. At this stage learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted one week time to explain the criminal history of the applicant through supplementary affidavit.
4. Put up this case as fresh on 16.2.2026.

(Sameer Jain,J.)

January 30, 2026
SKM