



2026:AHC:57503

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - A No. - 5311 of 2018

Rakesh Chandra Pandey

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Surendra Kumar Chaubey
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C., Rajesh Khare

Court No. - 52

HON'BLE MRS. MANJU RANI CHAUHAN, J.

1. Case is called out. None appears for the petitioner.
2. Learned Counsel for the State is present.
3. Record goes to show that the case is listed in the category of oldest pending cases in the cause list, for priority hearing.
4. A notice has been placed on the notice board specifically, mentioning that "old cases up to the year 2022 shall be taken up in the first round itself. In case of non-appearance, matter shall be dismissed as infructuous or otherwise, and in the cases, where the cause of action still survives, the concerned advocates are expected to mark them accordingly in the cause list. Failure to do so, may result in its dismissal as infructuous" but, neither the counsel for the petitioner has appeared nor has the case been marked to indicate that the cause of action still survives.
5. This matter is pending since the year 2018. It appears that either the petitioner has lost interest in pursuing the matter or it has become infructuous.
6. Accordingly, the writ petition is **dismissed** as infructuous.
7. Needless to say that the petitioner shall be at liberty to file recall/restoration application in case any cause of action still survives.

March 19, 2026
Imtiyaz

(Mrs. Manju Rani Chauhan, J.)