



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 460 of 2026

Gajendra Singh And 2 Others

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	: Tanisha Jahangir Monir
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	: G.A., Kapil Kumar, Pavan Kumar Dwivedi, Sandhya Singh

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Ms. Tanisha Jahangir Monir, learned counsel for the applicants, Ms. Sandhya Singh, learned counsel for the first informant, Shri B.P. Maurya, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.
2. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime no.541 of 2025, under Sections 191(2), 190, 127(2), 131, 115(2), 109(1) of B.N.S., Police Station Jhangirabad, District Bulandshahr, with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicants may be released on bail.
3. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicants that there is a cross case to the present case and the applicants have also received injuries in the alleged incident. It is further submitted that no specific role has been assigned to the applicant nos.2 and 3 and applicant no.1 has been assigned the specific role of assault on the head of the injured Paramjeet Singh. It is further submitted that the applicant no.1 has also received five injuries on his person. It is also submitted that the applicant no.1 is having criminal history of three cases, applicant nos.2 and 3 are having criminal history of one case each. It was also submitted that applicants undertake to co-operate during trial and they would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicants are granted anticipatory bail, they shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the first informant has opposed the application for anticipatory bail and it has been submitted by her that the specific role of assault has been assigned on the head of the injured Paramjeet Singh to the applicant no.1 Gajendra Singh and the injured Paramjeet Singh has received injuries of fracture of parietal bone, which has been found to be grievous in nature and the injuries of applicants side are simple in nature and they are concocted and the applicant no.2 has not explained his entire criminal history.

5. Learned AGA has also opposed the application for anticipatory bail and it has been submitted by him that the applicant no.2 is having criminal history of two cases, however, he has explained criminal history of one case only.

6. It may be stated that in case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra, (2011) 1 SCC 694**, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

7. In the event of arrest of the applicants - **Gajendrta Singh, Sachin Chaudhary and Nitin Kumar** involved in the aforesaid case crime shall be released on interim anticipatory bail till 18.03.2026 on their furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicants shall not tamper with evidence and that they would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicants shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicants would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicants shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

8. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicants.

9. List this case on **18.03.2026 as fresh at 02:00 P.M.**

10. Applicant no.2 is directed to file supplementary affidavit brining on record his entire criminal history.

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)

February 6, 2026
RKM