



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - C No. - 2974 of 2026

M/S Bajpai Traders

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 3 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	: Ajeet Kumar Shukla, Sr. Advocate, Vinay Kumar Upadhyay
Counsel for Respondent(s)	: C.S.C., Vineet Pandey

Court No. - 4

**HON'BLE NEERAJ TIWARI, J.
HON'BLE GARIMA PRASHAD, J.**

1. Sri Prabhakar Awasthi, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Sri Ajeet Kumar Shukla, learned counsel appears for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel appears for the State respondents and Sri Vineet Pandey, learned counsel appears for the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

2. Learned Senior Advocate for the petitioner submits that pursuant to e-tender notice dated 23.1.2025, the petitioner has submitted his bid, which has been rejected vide order dated 31.12.2025 with liberty to raise one time representation if it feels that rejection of its bid is not in accordance with law. He further submits that pursuant to that, the petitioner has moved its representation on 1.1.2026 but without deciding the same, again on the very next date i.e. 2.1.2026, the petitioner was informed that the Bid number GEM/2025/B/6567850 has now moved to the financial Bid evaluation stage on Government eMarketplace (GeM) on 2.1.2026 and thereafter on 12.1.2026 a bid contract has also been signed between respondent Nos.3 and 4. He submits that the petitioner's representation has never been considered nor any order has been passed, which is in the teeth of Government Order dated 26.11.2024, which clearly provides that in case of rejection a reasoned order has to be passed.

- 3. Learned counsel for the respondent Nos.2 and 3 prays for and is granted three days' time to seek instructions in the matter.
- 4. List this case as fresh on 3.2.2026.

(Garima Prashad,J.) (Neeraj Tiwari,J.)

January 29, 2026
Kuldeep