



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 454 of 2026**

Ankit Saxena @ Abhi

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Sanjeev Kumar Mishra
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Shri Sanjeev Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri B.P. Maurya, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime no.341 of 2017, under Sections 420, 120-B of I.P.C. and Section 67, 65, 66D of I.T. Act, Police Station Jagdishpura, District Agra, with the prayer that in the event of arrest, applicant may be released on bail.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that initially, the first information report was lodged under Section 306, 452, 323, 506 of I.P.C. along with Section 67 of the Information Technology (Amended) Act, 2008. However, after completion of the investigation, the charge sheet has been submitted against the applicant for the offences under Sections 420 and 120-B of the I.P.C. and Sections 67, 65 and 66-D of the Information Technology Act. It is further submitted that as per first information report, no offence made out against the applicant. It is further submitted that co-accused Arpit Saxena has already been granted interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 16.01.2026 passed in Criminal Misc. Anticipatory Bail Application U/s 482 BNSS No.263 of 2026. It was also submitted that applicant undertakes to co-operate during trial and he would appear as and when required by the investigating agency or Court. It has been stated that in case, applicant is granted anticipatory bail, he shall not misuse the liberty of bail and will co-operate with the investigation and would obey all conditions of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned AGA submits that he has not received instructions in the matter as yet.

5. It may be stated that in case of **Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra, (2011) 1 SCC 694**, it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while deciding anticipatory bail, Court must consider nature and gravity of accusation, antecedent of accused, possibility of accused to flee from justice and that Court must evaluate entire available material against the accused carefully and that the exact role of the accused has also to be taken into consideration.

6. In the event of arrest of the applicant- **Ankit Saxena @ Abhi** involved in the aforesaid case crime shall be released on interim anticipatory bail till 06.02.2026 on his furnishing a personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicant shall not tamper with evidence and that he would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court concerned;

(ii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicant would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

7. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the applicant.

8. List this case on **06.02.2026 as fresh.**

9. Learned AGA is directed to obtain instructions in the matter by the next date.

January 30, 2026
RKM

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)