

Court No. - 32

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1937 of 2023

Petitioner :- Jay Prakash Narayan Singh

Respondent :- State Of U P And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- J.P.N. Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- CSC, Sanjay Chaturvedi

Hon'ble Vikas Budhwar, J.

Heard Sri J.P.N. Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Standing Counsel, who appears for respondents 1 and 2 and Sri Sanjay Chaturvedi, who appears for the respondent nos. 3 and 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the order dated 07.12.2021 is not sustainable in the eyes of law particularly when once the writ petitioner had already worked as Head Master of the fifth respondent institution. Consequent to the amendment so made on 09.04.2014 whereby the session benefit was modified from 1st April to 31st March of the ensuing year and further the controversy stands decided by the decision in **Writ-A No. 33360 / 2017, Angad Yadav Vs. State of U.P.** then the petitioner is entitled to the benefits which he is claiming in the writ petition.

Matter requires consideration.

Issue notice to the respondent no. 5. Steps be taken within 10 days.

All the respondents may file their counter affidavits within a period of three weeks'.

Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks' thereafter.

List on 16.03.2023.

Order Date :- 8.2.2023

Nisha