



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

**CRIMINAL MISC. ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION U/S 482 BNSS No.
- 335 of 2026**

Muntiyaj @ Mumtaz And Another

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Jagdish Prasad Mishra
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

Court No. - 72

HON'BLE JITENDRA KUMAR SINHA, J.

1. Heard Sri Jagdish Prasad Mishra, learned counsel for the applicants, Sri Manoj Gautam, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. The present application has been moved seeking anticipatory bail in Case Crime no. 653 of 2012 under Sections 406, 420, 506 IPC, Police Station Civil Lines, District Muzaffarnagar with the prayer that in the event of arrest, the applicant may be released on bail.

3. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicants that a civil dispute has been given colour of criminality. It is further submitted that applicant no.1 is said to have entered into an agreement to sale with the first informant and he is said to have received Rs.1,00,000/- from the first informant but he has not executed the sale deed nor returned the earnest money received by him. Applicant no.2 is brother of applicant no.1.

4. On the other hand, learned A.G.A. submits that he has not received instructions in the matter as yet.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, let the applicants **Muntiyaj @ Mumtaz** and **Bhoora** in the event of their arrest, be released on interim anticipatory bail on their furnishing personal bond of Rs. 50,000/- with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned **till 16.2.2026** with the following conditions :-

(i) The applicants shall not tamper with evidence and they would appear before the trial Court on the date fixed unless exempted by the Court

concerned;

(ii) The applicants shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her/them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) The applicants would co-operate during trial and would not misuse the liberty of bail.

(iv) The applicants shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court concerned.

6. In default of any of the conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to file appropriate application for cancellation of the interim anticipatory bail granted to the applicants.

7. Put up this case as fresh on 16.2.2026.

8. In the meantime, learned AGA is directed to obtain instructions in the matter by the next date fixed.

January 19, 2026
Madhurima

(Jitendra Kumar Sinha,J.)