



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

WRIT - B No. - 98 of 2026

Siyaram And 7 Others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Of U.P. And 5 Others

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Petitioner(s)	:	Brajesh Shukla, Vipin Kumar
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	C.S.C.

Court No. - 54

HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.

1. Heard Mr. Brajesh Shukla, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Tarun Gaur, learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the Consolidation Officer has decided the matter after issuing notice to the private respondents. He further submitted that nobody appeared on behalf of private respondent before Consolidation Officer, accordingly, matter was decided refusing the claim of co-tenancy setup by private respondent. He further submitted that direct revision was filed before Deputy Director of Consolidation and Deputy Director of Consolidation has remanded the matter back before Consolidation Officer to decide the title dispute afresh after affording opportunity of hearing to the parties. He further submitted that the dispute is going on since 1979 and private respondents are only interested to linger on the proceeding by one way or the other. He further submitted that the remand order passed in revision is abuse of process of law.

3. Matter requires consideration.

4. Issue notice to respondent nos.3 to 7 returnable at an early date.

5. Steps be taken within ten days.

6. Respondent nos.3 to 7 shall file counter affidavit within six weeks.

7. Petitioners shall file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks thereafter.

8. List this case in the daily cause list on 12.8.2026.

9. Until further orders of this Court, the effect and operation of the impugned order dated 11.11.2025 passed by Deputy Director of Consolidation shall remain stayed.

(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)

May 12, 2026
Rameez