



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 987 of 2025

Arbaz

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s) : Irshad Ahmad

Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : Adil Jamal, G.A., Madan Singh,
Shabeh Jamal

Court No. - 78

HON'BLE AVNISH SAXENA, J.

1. The case is taken on mention made by Sri Madan Singh, learned counsel for the opposite party no.2. He submits that he has intimated the learned counsel for the applicant that he has made mention, but learned counsel for the applicant did not respond. Further submits that there is stay vacation application pending disposal, which has already been provided to the learned counsel for the applicant. Further submits that the FIR of gang rape has been lodged by the opposite party no.2, who is victim as well as informant. The accused/applicant has got the interim protection by this Court by order dated 01.04.2025 on the basis of marriage, which is shown in the Nikahnama marriage performed between the applicant and opposite party no.2. It is the contention of learned counsel that after the Nikahnama, the accused/applicant has thrown the opposite party no.2 away from residence. Hence, the reason for making mention.

2. List on 17.03.2026 at 2:00 p.m.

3. If on the next date fixed, the learned counsel for the applicant did not appear, the opposite parties will be heard on stay vacation application.

(Avnish Saxena,J.)

February 4, 2026

Abhishek Sri.