



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. - 2892 of 1988

Budh Raj

.....Appellant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Appellant(s) : Shyam Lal Nishad
Counsel for Respondent(s) : A.G.A.

Court No. - 79

HON'BLE TEJ PRATAP TIWARI, J.

1. Case called out in the revised list.
2. No one appears on behalf of the appellant to press this appeal whereas learned AGA for the State of U.P. is present.
3. As per report of the office submitted on the basis of report made by learned C.J.M., Kaushambi, a notice to the sole appellant has been served him personally and the trial court record has been received by the office.
4. Today, learned counsel for the appellants is not present before this Court to argue the case. The Court, therefore, appoint Sri Mohd. Ashraf, who is present in the court has shown his interest to appear and argue the case as Amicus Curiae for the sole appellant.
6. Office is directed to prepare the paper book and supply the same to learned counsel for the appellant.
7. Put up this matter for final hearing in the **second week of March, 2026.**

(Tej Pratap Tiwari,J.)

February 9, 2026

Manoj