



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**CRIMINAL REVISION No. - 428 of 2026**

Neha

.....Revisionist(s)

Versus

State of U.P. and Another

.....Opposite  
Party(s)

---

Counsel for Revisionist(s) : Kamendra Singh  
Counsel for Opposite Party(s) : G.A.

---

**Court No. - 87**

**HON'BLE PRAVEEN KUMAR GIRI, J.**

1. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Jitendra Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of opposite party No.2 is taken on record.
2. Learned counsel for the revisionist submits that the application filed by the revisionist has been rejected as she has not disclosed her status that she is Shiksha Mitra and is getting honorarium/salary from the State Exchequer and the same was disclosed by opposite party No.2, therefore, the impugned order has been passed on 09.12.2025 denying the amount of maintenance.
3. Learned counsel for opposite party No.2 submits that he may be granted three weeks' time to file counter affidavit.
4. Three weeks' time is granted to the learned counsel for opposite party No.2 for filing counter affidavit.
5. List this case on 09.07.2026.

**(Praveen Kumar Giri,J.)**

**May 13, 2026**  
K.Tiwari