



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. - 2516 of 1984

Sheo Raj And Others

.....Appellant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Appellant(s)	:	Abhitab Kumar Tiwari, K.K.Dwivedi, R.P.Dwivedi, R.S.Sengar, S.K. Rai
Counsel for Respondent(s)	:	A.G.A.

Court No. - 50

HON'BLE MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Learned counsel refers to the order dated 20th November, 2018 to point out with the demise of appellant nos.3 and 4, namely, Hukum Singh and Onkar, respectively, the appeal qua them has abated, whereas the non- bailable warrants were issued against appellant nos.1 and 2, namely, Sheo Raj and Nau Raj. He submits that appellant no.1 Sheo Raj has also died and the appeal survives only qua appellant no.2 Nau Raj.

According to learned counsel, as the earlier counsel who filed the appeal had died, therefore the appellant could not be represented on 20.11.2018, which led to the issuance of non- bailable warrants. He submits that the absence of the counsel was the sole reason for issuance of warrants against the appellants, therefore, he prays for recall of the warrants, as the warrants have not been executed till date.

The office report dated 4th March, 2022 shows that the appellant no.1 Sheo Raj has also died, therefore, the appeal qua him also abates and is disposed of.

Considering the background of the case and the reasons of non- representation of the appellant on 20th November, 2018, this Court deems it appropriate to recall the warrants. The application dated 30.1.2019 is allowed.

Let the main appeal be listed for hearing on 21st January, 2026.

(Manoj Bajaj,J.)

November 11, 2025
Raj