



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**WRIT - B No. - 10527 of 1979**

Ram Deo

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

D.D.C. And Others

.....Respondent(s)

---

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : Manoj Kumar Rajvanshi, Prakash  
Chandra Yadav, Vishesh Rajvanshi  
Counsel for Respondent(s) : Deepak Kumar Srivastava, Deepak  
Srivastava, R.N. Singh, S.C., S.N. Singh

---

**Court No. - 54**

**HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.**

**Re: Civil Misc. Substitution Application No.312262/2017.**

1. Heard Mr. Upadhyay Karan Deena Nath, learned counsel, holding the brief of Mr. Vishesh Rajvanshi, learned counsel for the petitioners-applicants.
2. The instant application has been filed to substitute the legal heirs of deceased respondent nos.15.
3. Notice was issued to the proposed heirs, accordingly, steps were taken by the counsel for the petitioners. As per office report, service is deemed sufficient upon the proposed heirs.
4. Application is within limitation.
5. Let the word 'deceased' be mentioned against the name of respondent no.15 and the names of his legal heirs as mentioned in the prayer clause of the application be substituted as respondent nos.15/1 to 15/3.
6. The substitution application is, accordingly, allowed.

**Re: Civil Misc. Delay Condonation / Substitution Application Nos.2 & 3, both of 2019.**

1. Heard Mr. Upadhyay Karan Deena Nath, learned counsel, holding the brief of Mr. Vishesh Rajvanshi, learned counsel for the petitioners-applicants.
2. The instant applications have been filed to substitute the legal heirs of deceased respondent nos.4/1 & 4/2 along with an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act.
3. Notice was issued to the proposed heirs, accordingly, steps were taken by

the counsel for the petitioners. As per office report, service is deemed sufficient upon the proposed heirs.

4. The reason for condonation of delay mentioned in the affidavit is satisfactory. Delay in filing the substitution application is condoned.

5. Let the word 'deceased' be mentioned against the name of respondent nos.4/1 and 4/2 and the names of their legal heirs as mentioned in the prayer clause of the application be substituted as respondent nos.4/1/1 and 4/2/1 to 4/2/4 respectively.

6. The applications are, accordingly, allowed.

**Re: Civil Misc. Substitution Application No.4/2021.**

1. Heard Mr. Upadhyay Karan Deena Nath, learned counsel, holding the brief of Mr. Vishesh Rajvanshi, learned counsel for the petitioners-applicants.

2. The instant application has been filed to substitute the legal heirs of deceased respondent nos.13/1.

3. Notice was issued to the proposed heirs, accordingly, steps were taken by the counsel for the petitioners. As per office report, service is deemed sufficient upon the proposed heirs.

4. Application is within limitation.

5. Let the word 'deceased' be mentioned against the name of respondent no.13/1 and the names of his legal heirs as mentioned in the prayer clause of the application be substituted as respondent nos.13/1/1 & 13/1/2.

6. The substitution application is, accordingly, allowed.

**Order on Writ Petition**

List this matter on 7.4.2026, before the appropriate Bench.

**March 25, 2026**  
C.Prakash

**(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)**