



HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

CRIMINAL APPEAL No. - 1208 of 1987

Hafij And Others

.....Appellant(s)

Versus

State

.....Respondent(s)

Counsel for Appellant(s) : Bharat Singh, Sanjeev Kumar Pandey,
V.C. Katiyar

Counsel for Respondent(s) : A.G.A.

Court No. - 87

HON'BLE ABDUL SHAHID, J.

1. Sri Alok Shukla, learned counsel for the appellant nos. 1 and 5 is present.
2. The proceeding of the criminal appeal against the appellant nos. 2, 3, 4 and 6 are already dismissed as abated.
3. Learned counsel for the appellants seeks time to prepare the paper book.
4. As per Rule 31 of Chapter XVIII of Allahabad High Court Rules, no paper book is required to be prepared, which may be heard by a Judge sitting alone. The said Rule 31 of Chapter XVIII is quoted herein below:-

"31. Preparation of paper-book-In all cases in which a sentence of death has been passed or notice has been given to the accused to show cause why his sentence should not be enhanced and the offence is one in which a sentence of death may be passed or appeals under Section 374(2) or under sub-section (1) or (2) of Section 378 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, a printed paper-book shall be prepared, in appeals under sub-section (4) of Section 78 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and in cases covered by Rules, 25, 26, 29 and 30 a typewritten paper-book shall be prepared. Copies of legible written papers may be prepared by photocopying in the paper-book.

Where a reference has been made by the Court of Session under Section 366 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for the confirmation of the sentence of death passed by him and an appeal has also been presented by a person convicted in the same case, a single printed paper-book shall be prepared:

Provided that no paper-book shall be prepared in a case which may be heard by a Judge sitting alone, unless specifically directed by the Court."

5. Last opportunity is provided to the learned counsel for the appellants.
6. List after four weeks for final hearing.
7. No further opportunity of hearing would be provided to the learned counsel for the appellants.

(Abdul Shahid,J.)

February 24, 2026

K.K. Maurya