



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD**

**WRIT - B No. - 12708 of 1981**

Smt. Rajpati

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

D.D.C. And Others

.....Respondent(s)

---

Counsel for Petitioner(s) : D.P.Singh, H.P. Mishra, N.P. Mishra, R.D.Tiwari, S. Nandan, Siddharth Singh, Smt Karuna Chaturvedi

Counsel for Respondent(s) : G.S. Mishra, Krishna Kumar Singh, Praveen Yadav, R.K.Chaurasiya, R.K.Yadav, Raj Kumar Chaurasia, S.C., S.C.Verma, S.K. Srivastava, V.D. Ojha, V.K. Yadav, V.K.Singh

---

**Court No. - 54**

**HON'BLE CHANDRA KUMAR RAI, J.**

**Re: Civil Misc. Substitution Application No.246710/2010.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner-applicant.
2. The instant application has been filed to substitute the legal heir of deceased respondent no.9/2.
3. Application is within limitation.
4. Notice was issued to the proposed heir, accordingly, steps were taken by the counsel for the petitioner. As per office report, service is deemed to be sufficient upon the proposed heir.
5. Let the word 'deceased' be mentioned against the name of respondent no.9/2 and the name of his legal heir as mentioned in the prayer clause of the application be substituted as respondent no.9/2/1.
6. The substitution application is, accordingly, allowed.

**Re: Civil Misc. Substitution Application No.19/2021.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner-applicant.
2. The instant application has been filed to substitute the legal heir of deceased respondent no.6.
3. Application is within limitation.
4. Notice was issued to the proposed heir, accordingly, steps were taken by the counsel for the petitioner. As per office report, service is deemed to be sufficient upon the proposed heir.
5. Let the word 'deceased' be mentioned against the name of respondent no.6 and the name of his legal heir as mentioned in the prayer clause of the application be substituted as respondent no.6/1.
6. The substitution application is, accordingly, allowed.

**Re: Civil Misc. Substitution Application No.21/2023.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner-applicant.
2. The instant application has been filed to substitute the legal heir of deceased respondent no.8.
3. Application is within limitation.
4. Notice was issued to the proposed heir, accordingly, steps were taken by the counsel for the petitioner. As per office report, service is deemed to be sufficient upon the proposed heir.
5. Let the word 'deceased' be mentioned against the name of respondent no.8 and the name of his legal heir as mentioned in the prayer clause of the application be substituted as respondent no.8/1.
6. The substitution application is, accordingly, allowed.

**Re: Civil Misc. Substitution Application No.235056/2016.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner-applicant.
2. The instant application has been filed with the prayer that word

"deceased" be mentioned against the name of respondent no.15 and a note be made that his legal heirs are already on record of the petition.

3. Let the word 'deceased' be mentioned against the name of respondent no.15 and a note be made that the legal heirs of deceased respondent no.15 are already on record of the petition as respondent nos. 14/1 & 14/2.

4. The substitution application is, accordingly, disposed of.

**Re: Civil Misc. Substitution Application No.20/2021.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner-applicant.

2. The instant application has been filed with the prayer that word "deceased" be mentioned against the name of respondent no.16/1 and a note be made that his legal heirs are already on record of the petition.

3. Let the word 'deceased' be mentioned against the name of respondent no.16/1 and a note be made that the legal heirs of deceased respondent no.16/1 are already on record of the petition.

4. The substitution application is, accordingly, disposed of.

**Order on Writ Petition**

List this matter on 7.4.2026, before the appropriate Bench.

**March 25, 2026**

C.Prakash

**(Chandra Kumar Rai,J.)**