



2026:UHC:2048

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p><u>C-582 No. 2203 of 2025</u></p> <p>Rajendra Kashyap and Another Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>Sate of Uttarakhand and OthersRespondents</p> <p><u>Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.</u></p> <p>Mr. Abhishek Verma, learned counsel for the Applicants.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.S Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General assisted by Mr. Vikash Uniyal, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand.</p> <p>3. Ms. Aishwarya Thapliyal, learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.</p> <p>4. The present Application under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, has been filed on behalf of the Applicants seeking quashing of the charge-sheet dated 01.09.2025, the cognizance and summoning order dated 03.11.2025, and the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 567 of 2025 (arising out of Case Crime No. 048 of 2025), "<i>State vs. Rajendra Kashyap and Others</i>", pending before the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Kashipur, Udham Singh Nagar. By the impugned proceedings, Applicant No. 1 has been summoned for offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 115(2), 351(3) and 352 of the B.N.S., 2023, and Applicant No. 2 has been summoned for offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 115, 351(3) and 352 of the B.N.S., 2023, as well as under Section 30 of the Arms Act, 1959.</p> <p>5. Along with the present Application, a joint Compounding Application (I.A. No. 01 of 2025) has been filed stating that the parties have amicably settled their dispute.</p>



6. Today, the Applicants are present in person and have been duly identified by Mr. Abhishek Verma, learned counsel. Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 are also present in person and have been duly identified by Ms. Aishwarya Thapliyal, learned counsel.

7. This Court has interacted with the Applicants as well as Respondent Nos. 2 and 3. During the course of interaction, all the parties have stated that they have resolved their dispute amicably and do not wish to pursue the matter any further. They have further stated that they have no objection if the Compounding Application is allowed.

8. Per contra, learned State Counsel has opposed the Compounding Application on the ground that some of the offences involved are non-compoundable in nature.

9. Having considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, this Court is of the considered view that the dispute between the parties is purely personal in nature and has been amicably settled. In such circumstances, continuation of the criminal proceedings would serve no useful purpose and would amount to an abuse of the process of law.

10. Accordingly, in the interest of justice, the Compounding Application deserves to be allowed and the entire proceedings of Criminal Case No. 567 of 2025 (arising out of Case Crime No. 048 of 2025), "*State vs. Rajendra Kashyap and Others*", pending before the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Kashipur, Udham Singh Nagar, are liable to be quashed, qua the present Applicants only.

11. The Compounding Application (I.A. No. 01 of 2025) is, accordingly, allowed. The entire proceedings



2026:UHC:2048

of the aforesaid criminal case are hereby quashed only in respect of the present Applicants.

12. Consequently, the present Criminal Miscellaneous Application filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, stands disposed of.

(Ashish Naithani, J.)

23.03.2026

Shiksha



2026:UHC:2048

--	--	--	--