



2025:UHC:7609

S L. N o.	D a t e	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p>WPCRL No. 1613 of 2023</p> <p><u>Hon'ble Ashish Naithani, J.</u></p> <p>There is no representation on behalf of the Petitioners.</p> <p>2. Mr. Akshay Latwal, learned A.G.A. for the State of Uttarakhand.</p> <p>3. Mr. Vipul Sharma and Mr. Maneesh Bisht, learned counsel for Respondent No. 10.</p> <p>4. Mr. B.S. Adhikari, learned counsel for Respondent No. 11.</p> <p>5. Mr. Milind Raj, learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 12 and 15.</p> <p>6. Mr. R.S. Sammal and Ms. Sarita Bisht, learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 13 and 16.</p> <p>7. Mr. B.D. Pande, learned counsel for Respondent No. 19.</p> <p>8. Mr. Sharang Dhulia, learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 20 and 21 (through video conferencing).</p> <p>9. This Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the Petitioners – Mohit Prahalad Joshi and Deepa Joshi.</p> <p>10. As per office report, the Petition was filed on 02.12.2023 and listed on 21.12.2023. The coordinate Bench recorded "<i>It is the case of alleged gross misuse of power, atrocities, torture by police in police custody, manipulation of records, lodging of false FIR, dereliction of duties, humiliations and many other related issues. The petitioners seek investigation into the matter CBI and action against the erring officers.</i>" It was further noted: "<i>Fortunately, the petitioners could get the CCTV footages of the police station, which speak loudly the miserable conditions, in which the police force had worked at Mahila Thana, NTD, Almora, in the presence of Senior Police Officers of District Almora. Some of the transcripts have been enclosed by the petitioners.</i>" and it was further noted "<i>It is the allegations that not only the petitioners were abused, humiliated, but they were beaten up in the police custody. The case was manipulated.</i>"</p> <p>11. In contrast, the order dated 30.07.2025 passed by the coordinate Bench recorded the presence of the following: Mr. S.C. Dumka, learned A.G.A. assisted by Ms. Sweta Badola Dobhal, learned Brief Holder for the State, Mr. Saurav Adhikari, learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3, Mr. Maneesh Bisht, learned</p>



2025:UHC:7609

counsel for Respondent No. 10

Mr. B.S. Adhikari, learned counsel for Respondent No. 11, Mr. S.R.S. Gill, learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 12 and 15, Mr. Harshit Sanwal, learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 17 and 18, Mr. H.C. Pathak, learned counsel for Respondent No. 19, Mr. Sharang Dhulia, learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 20 and 21 (through V.C.)

12. The presence of Mr. Aayush Gaur, learned counsel for the Petitioners, was also recorded. He submitted that he no longer wished to represent the Petitioners and stated that he had been threatened by the Petitioner in open court with dire consequences. Apprehending adverse orders against the Petitioners, he requested permission to withdraw his *Vakalatnama*, which was granted. The Court also directed deletion of his name from the cause list.

13. The present Criminal Writ Petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Ashish Naithani, J.)

27.08.2025

Shiksha



2025:UHC:7609

--	--	--