

SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
	03.12.2025		<u>WPSB No. 514 of 2025</u> <u>Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J.</u> <u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u> Mr. Rahul Bajaj, learned counsel for the petitioner through Video Conferencing. 2. Mr. B.P. Nautiyal, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. Manokam Nautiyal, learned counsel for respondent through Video Conferencing. 3. Learned counsel for respondent prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file counter affidavit. Thereafter, petitioner may file rejoinder affidavit within four weeks. 4. List this case on 24.02.2026. 5. Heard on interim relief application (IA 1 of 2025). 6. It is the case of the petitioner that he is a leprosy-cured person and further suffers from locomotive disability to the extent of 40%. He alleges that he is being subjected to harassment within the establishment through the display of insensitive posters targeting the persons affected by leprosy. He, therefore, seeks appropriate action in this regard. 7. By means of the interim relief application, the petitioner seeks protection against any coercive or retaliatory action by the respondent during the pendency of the writ petition. 8. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is facing discrimination and harassment at the hands of the respondents and that there is a continuing pattern of such conduct. He, therefore, seeks ad-interim <i>ex parte</i> protection restraining the respondents from taking any coercive or retaliatory action against the petitioner during the pendency of the writ petition. 9. Learned counsel for the respondent would submit that the petitioner is not being

			harassed in any manner. He further relies upon the findings of the Court of the Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities (Divyangjan) ("C.C.P.D."), forming part of Annexure-15 to the writ petition, wherein it was observed that no concrete evidence had been presented by the complainant (petitioner herein). Therefore, according to him, there is no basis for granting interim protection. 10. In response, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the C.C.P.D. has not recorded any conclusive findings and that, subsequent to the communication dated 06.06.2025, the petitioner informed the C.C.P.D. that he would be filing a separate case specifically regarding harassment, denial of reasonable accommodation, etc. 11. The petitioner asserts that he should not be subjected to harassment during the pendency of the petition, the learned counsel for the respondent submit that the C.C.P.D. had already observed that the petitioner did not submit any concrete evidence of harassment. The petitioner was never subjected to harassment. 12. Be it as it may, the Court accept that merely because the petitioner has filed the instant petition, no action whatsoever shall be taken against him. 13. The interim relief application stands disposed of. (Alok Mahra, J.) 03.12.2025 (Ravindra Maithani J.) 03.12.2025 Mamta
--	--	--	---

--	--	--	--